

CONGRUENCES MODULO PRIMES OF THE FORM $P=2^s N+1$ AND APPLICATIONS TO FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM

Nasri Cheaito
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences, Section I
Lebanese University
Hadath

(Received 1 November 1998 Accepted 25 July 2000)

INTRODUCTION

Using the fact that for every odd prime p the multiplicative group $G=\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$ is a finite cyclic group of order $p-1$, we prove the following:

Theorem A: Let n be an odd natural number, such that $p=2^s n+1$ is prime for some $s \geq 1$. Let u, v and w be integers, such that $u+v+w \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and

$$u^{2^r} \equiv v^{2^r} \equiv w^{2^r} \pmod{p}, \text{ for some } 1 \leq r \leq s.$$

Then we have

- (i) If $1 \leq r \leq 4$, then p divides one of u, v and w .
- (ii) If $r = 5$ and p does not divide any of u, v and w , then $n=3$ or ($s = 5$ and $n = 3$) or ($s = 6$ and $n = 7$).

As consequences of Theorem A, we prove the following:

Theorem A': Let n be an odd natural number, such that $p=2^s n+1$ is prime for some $s \geq 1$. If x, y and z are integers, such that $x^n + y^n + z^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then

- (i) If $1 \leq s \leq 4$, then p divides one of x, y and z .
- (ii) If $s=5$ and $n \neq 3$, then p divides one of x, y and z .

Theorem A'': If n is an odd prime and $2^s n+1$ is prime, for some $1 \leq s \leq 5$, then Case I of Fermat's Last Theorem holds, that is if n is an odd prime, such that $2^s n+1$ is prime for some $1 \leq s \leq 5$ and if x, y and z are integers, such that n does not divide any of them, then the equation $x^n + y^n + z^n = 0$ is impossible.

BASIC RESULTS

Let n be an odd natural number and suppose that $p=2^s n+1$ is prime for some $s \geq 1$. Let x, y and z be integers, such that

- (i) $x+y+z \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.
- (ii) $x^{2^r} \equiv y^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r} \pmod{p}$, for some $1 \leq r \leq s$.
- (ii) p does not divide any of x, y and z .

In the rest all congruences are supposed modulo p .

Lemma 2.1. The following hold

- (i) $x \equiv -y$ is impossible.
- (ii) If $x \equiv y$, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$.

Proof:

(a) Assume that $x \equiv -y$. Then $x+y \equiv 0$, and so $z \equiv 0$, a contradiction. Therefore $x \equiv -y$ is impossible.

(b) Suppose that p does not divide $2^{2^t} + 1$, for all $1 \leq t \leq r-1$. Then as $x+y+z \equiv 0$, we get that $2x \equiv -z$, and so $2^{2^r} x^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r}$. But $x^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r}$ and $x^{2^r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, hence $2^{2^r} \equiv 1$.

Since $2^{2^r} - 1 = (2+1)(2^2+1)(2^{2^2}+1)\dots(2^{2^{r-1}}+1)$, $\exists 1 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $(2^{2^t} + 1)$, a contradiction. Therefore (ii) holds.

Lemma 2.2.

(a) If $x^2 \equiv y^2$, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$.

(b) If $x^2 \equiv -y^2$, then $r \geq 2$ and there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-2$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$.

Proof:

(i) Suppose that p does not divide $2^{2^t} + 1$, for all $1 \leq t \leq r-1$. Since $x^2 \equiv y^2$, either $x \equiv y$, which is impossible, by lemma 2.1, or $x \equiv -y$, which is also impossible, by lemma 2.1.

(c) Since $x^2 \equiv -y^2$ and $x^{2^r} \equiv y^{2^r}$, we then have that $r \geq 2$. Assume that p does not divide $2^{2^t} + 1$, for all $1 \leq t \leq r-2$. We have $x+y \equiv -z$, hence $x^2 + y^2 + 2xy \equiv z^2$, and so

$$2xy \equiv z^2.$$

This implies that

$$2^{2^{r-1}} x^{2^{r-1}} y^{2^{r-1}} \equiv (z^2)^{2^{r-1}}.$$

But $x^{2^{r-1}} \equiv y^{2^{r-1}}$, hence $2^{2^{r-1}} x^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r}$. However $x^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r}$ and $x^{2^r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence $2^{2^{r-1}} \equiv 1$, and so there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-2$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3

- (i) If $x^{2^2} \equiv y^{2^2}$, then there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$.
- (ii) If $x^{2^2} \equiv -y^{2^2}$, then $r \geq 3$ and there exists an integer a , such that
- $$a^{2^{r-1}} \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2a^{2^{r-1}} - 4a + 1 \equiv 0.$$

Proof:

(i) If $x^{2^2} \equiv y^{2^2}$, then either $x^2 \equiv y^2$ or $x^2 \equiv -y^2$, and so there exists $1 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$, by lemma 2.2.

(ii) Since $x^{2^2} \equiv -y^{2^2}$ and $x^{2^r} \equiv y^{2^r}$, we then get that $r \geq 3$. We have $x+y \equiv -z$ so that $x^2 + y^2 \equiv z^2 - 2xy$, and so

$$x^4 + y^4 + 2x^2y^2 \equiv z^4 - 4xyz^2 + 4x^2y^2.$$

This yields that

$$z^4 - 4xyz^2 + 2x^2y^2 \equiv 0.$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is a field and $z \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, we then get that there exists an integer a , such that $xy \equiv az^2$.

We have

$$z^4 - 4az^4 + 2a^2z^4 \equiv 0$$

hence as $z^4 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $1 - 4a + 2a^2 \equiv 0$.

On the other hand we have $x^{2^{r-1}}y^{2^{r-1}} \equiv a^{2^{r-1}}(z^2)^{2^{r-1}}$, so that as $x^{2^{r-1}} \equiv y^{2^{r-1}}$, then $x^{2^r} \equiv a^{2^{r-1}}z^{2^r}$. But $x^{2^r} \equiv z^{2^r}$ and $x^{2^r} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, whence $a^{2^{r-1}} \equiv 1$. This completes the proof of (ii).

PROOF OF THEOREM A

Let n be an odd natural number and suppose that $p = 2^s n + 1$ is prime for some $s \geq 1$. Let u, v and w be integers, such that

- (i) $u + v + w \equiv 0$
- (ii) $u^{2^r} \equiv v^{2^r} \equiv w^{2^r}$, for some $1 \leq r \leq s$.

Proof of part(i) of Theorem A: Because $r \leq 4$, we have $u^{16} \equiv v^{16} \equiv w^{16}$, so that there exist $x, y, z \in \{u, v, w\}$, such that

$$\{x, y, z\} = \{u, v, w\} \text{ and } x^8 \equiv y^8.$$

This implies

$$x^2 \equiv y^2 \text{ or } x^2 \equiv -y^2.$$

Assume that p does not divide any of u, v and w . Then p does not divide any of x, y and z . But

$$x^{r+1} + y^{r+1} + z^{r+1} \equiv 0 \text{ and } x^{2r} \equiv y^{2r} \equiv z^{2r}$$

then we get from lemma 2.3 that

$$p \text{ divides } 2^{2^t} + 1, \text{ for some } 1 \leq t \leq r-1$$

or

$$r \geq 3 \text{ and there exists an integer } a, \text{ such that } a^{2^{r-1}} \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2a^{2^{r-1}-4a+1} \equiv 0.$$

Suppose that the former holds. Since $1 \leq t \leq 4$, $2^{2^t} + 1$ is prime, for all $1 \leq t \leq r-1$, and so $p = 2^{2^t} + 1$, which yields that $2^S n = 2^{2^t}$, which is impossible, because n is odd. Assume that the latter holds. Then

$$a^8 \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2a^{2^{r-1}-4a+1} \equiv 0.$$

We have either $a^4 \equiv 1$ or $a^4 \equiv -1$. If $a^4 \equiv 1$, then either $a^2 \equiv 1$ or $a^2 \equiv -1$. But

$$a^2 \equiv 1 \Rightarrow a \equiv 1 \text{ or } a \equiv -1 \Rightarrow -1 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 7 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow p=7 \Rightarrow s=1 \text{ and } n=3$$

and

$$a^2 \equiv -1 \Rightarrow -4a \equiv 1 \Rightarrow -16 \equiv 1 \Rightarrow 17 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 2^S n = 16$$

hence either $s=1$ or $2^S n = 16$, which is impossible because $s \geq r \geq 3$ and n is odd. It follows that $a^4 \equiv -1$. Now

$$2a^2 \equiv 4a-1 \Rightarrow 4a^4 \equiv (4a-1)^2 \Rightarrow 4a^4 \equiv 16a^2 - 8a + 1 \Rightarrow 16a^2 - 8a + 5 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 8(2a^2) - 8a + 5 \equiv 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 8(4a-1) - 8a + 5 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 24a - 3 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 8a \equiv 1 \Rightarrow 64a^2 \equiv 1 \Rightarrow 32(4a-1) \equiv 1$$

$$\Rightarrow 128a \equiv 33 \Rightarrow 16(8a) \equiv 33 \Rightarrow 16 \equiv 33 \Rightarrow 17 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow p = 17 \Rightarrow 2^S n = 16$$

so that n is even, impossible. Therefore p divides one of u, v and w .

Proof of part(ii) of Theorem A:

We have $u^{32} \equiv v^{32} \equiv w^{32}$, so that there exist $x, y, z \in \{u, v, w\}$, such that $\{x, y, z\} = \{u, v, w\}$ and either

$$x^{16} \equiv y^{16} \equiv z^{16} \text{ or } x^{16} \equiv y^{16} \equiv -z^{16}.$$

If $x^{16} \equiv y^{16} \equiv z^{16}$, then p divides one of x , y and z , by 3.1, and so p divides one of u , v and w , a contradiction. Hence

$$x^{16} \equiv y^{16} \equiv -z^{16}.$$

This yields either $x^8 \equiv y^8$ or $x^8 \equiv -y^8$. Suppose that $x^8 \equiv y^8$. Then $x^4 \equiv y^4$ or $x^4 \equiv -y^4$, and so we get from lemma 2.3 that

$$p \text{ divides } 2^{2^t} + 1, \text{ for some } 1 \leq t \leq r-1$$

or

$$r \geq 3 \text{ there exists an integer } a, \text{ such that } a^{2^{r-1}} \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2a^{2-4a+1} \equiv 0.$$

Suppose that the former holds. Since $1 \leq t \leq 4$, $2^{2^t} + 1$ is prime, whence $p = 2^{2^t} + 1$, and so $2^S n = 2^{2^t}$, which is impossible, because n is odd. Assume that the latter holds. Then as $r=5$, we get

$$a^{16} \equiv 1 \text{ and } 2a^{2-4a+1} \equiv 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} 2a^{2-4a+1} \equiv 0 &\Rightarrow 2a^{2-4a-1} \Rightarrow 4a \equiv 2a^{2+1} \Rightarrow 16a^{2-4a+4} + 4a^{2+1} \Rightarrow 12a^{2-4a+4} + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 144a^4 \equiv 16a^8 + 8a^4 + 1 \Rightarrow 136a^4 \equiv 16a^8 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a^{16} \equiv 1$, either $a^8 \equiv 1$ or $a^8 \equiv -1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} a^8 \equiv 1 &\Rightarrow 136a^4 \equiv 16a^8 + 1 \Rightarrow 136a^4 \equiv 17 \Rightarrow (136)^2 a^8 \equiv (17)^2 \Rightarrow (136)^2 \equiv (17)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow (136-17)(136+17) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 119 \times 153 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 17 \times 7 \times 17 \times 9 \equiv 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 17 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 7 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 32n+1 \text{ divides one of } 3, 7 \text{ and } 17 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a^8 \equiv -1 &\Rightarrow 136a^4 \equiv -16+1 \Rightarrow 136a^4 \equiv -15 \Rightarrow (136)^2 a^8 \equiv 225 \Rightarrow -(136)^2 \equiv 225 \\ &\Rightarrow (136)^2 + 225 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 18721 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 97 \times 193 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 97 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 193 \equiv 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 32n+1=97 \text{ or } 32n+1=193 \Rightarrow 32n=96 \text{ or } 32n=192 \Rightarrow n=3 \text{ or } n=6 \Rightarrow n=3 \end{aligned}$$

hence we get $n=3$ in this case. Suppose that $x^8 \equiv -y^8$. Since $x+y \equiv -z$, we get

$$x^8 + y^8 \equiv z^8 - 8xyz^6 + 20x^2y^2z^4 - 16x^3y^3z^2 + 2x^4y^4$$

and so

$$z^8 - 8xyz^6 + 20x^2y^2z^4 - 16x^3y^3z^2 + 2x^4y^4 \equiv 0.$$

Let a be an integer such that $xy \equiv az^2$. Then $z^8 - 8az^8 + 20a^2z^8 - 16a^3z^8 - 2z^8 \equiv 0$, and so

$$16a^3 + 8a \equiv 20a^2 - 1.$$

Since $x^8 y^8 \equiv -y^{16}$, we get $x^8 y^8 \equiv z^{16}$, and so $x^4 y^4 \equiv z^8$ or $x^4 y^4 \equiv -z^8$.

Assume that $x^4 y^4 \equiv -z^8$. Then $a^4 \equiv -1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 16a^3 + 8a^2 - 1 &\Rightarrow 8a(2a^2 + 1) \equiv (20a^2 - 1) \Rightarrow 64a^2(2a^2 + 1)^2 \equiv (20a^2 - 1)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow 64a^2(4a^4 + 4a^2 + 1) \equiv 400a^4 - 40a^2 + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 64a^2(-4 + 4a^2 + 1) \equiv -400 - 40a^2 + 1 \\ &\Rightarrow 64a^2(4a^2 - 3) \equiv -399 - 40a^2 \Rightarrow 256a^4 - 192a^2 \equiv -399 - 40a^2 \\ &\Rightarrow -256 - 192a^2 \equiv -399 - 40a^2 \Rightarrow 143 \equiv 152a^2 \\ &\Rightarrow (143)^2 \equiv (152)^2 a^4 \Rightarrow (143)^2 \equiv -(152)^2 \Rightarrow (152)^2 + (143)^2 \equiv 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 43553 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 97 \times 449 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 2^s n + 1 = 97 \text{ or } 2^s n + 1 = 449 \\ &\Rightarrow (s=5 \text{ and } n=3) \text{ or } (s=6 \text{ and } n=7). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $x^4 y^4 \equiv z^8$. Then $x^2 y^2 \equiv z^4$ or $x^2 y^2 \equiv -z^4$, and so $a^2 \equiv 1$ or $a^2 \equiv -1$. But

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 \equiv 1 &\Rightarrow 16a + 8a \equiv 20 - 1 \Rightarrow 24a \equiv 19 \Rightarrow (24)^2 a^2 \equiv (19)^2 \Rightarrow (24)^2 \equiv (19)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow (24-19)(24+19) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow 5 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 43 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow p = 5 \text{ or } p=43 \Rightarrow 2^s n = 4 \text{ or } 2^s n = 42 \\ &\Rightarrow s=2 \text{ or } s=1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 \equiv -1 &\Rightarrow -16a + 8a \equiv -20 - 1 \Rightarrow -8a \equiv -21 \Rightarrow 64a^2 \equiv 441 \Rightarrow -64 \equiv 441 \Rightarrow 505 \equiv 0 \\ &\Rightarrow 5 \equiv 0 \text{ or } 101 \equiv 0 \Rightarrow p=5 \text{ or } p=101 \Rightarrow 2^s n = 4 \text{ or } 2^s n = 100 \Rightarrow s=2 \end{aligned}$$

hence we get that $s=1$ or $s=2$, which is impossible, because $s \geq r \geq 5$.

Therefore we have $n=3$ or $(s=5 \text{ and } n=3)$ or $(s=6 \text{ and } n=7)$.

Proof of Theorem A':

- (i) Take $u = x^n$, $v = y^n$ and $w = z^n$. We have $u+v+w \equiv 0$ and $u^{2^s} \equiv v^{2^s} \equiv w^{2^s}$ with $1 \leq s \leq 4$, hence p divides one of u , v and w , by 3.1, and so p divides one of x , y and z .
- (ii) Take $u = x^n$, $v = y^n$ and $w = z^n$. We have $u+v+w \equiv 0$ and $u^{2^s} \equiv v^{2^s} \equiv w^{2^s}$ with $1 \leq s \leq 5$, so that if p does not divide any of u , v and w , then $n=3$ or $(s=5 \text{ and } n=3)$ or $(s=6 \text{ and } n=7)$, by 3.2, which is impossible, and so p divides one of u , v and w . It follows that p divides one of x , y and z .

PROOF OF THEOREM A''

Lemma 1. The following hold

- (i) $2^{2^s} + 1$ is prime, for all $0 \leq s \leq 4$.
- (ii) If $n \geq 3$ is an odd natural number and $p = 2^s n + 1$ is prime, for some $s \geq 1$, then $2^{2^r} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is impossible, for all $0 \leq r \leq 5$.
- (iii) If a is non-zero natural number and p is a prime dividing $a^{2^r} + 1$, for some $r \geq 1$, then there exists a natural number k , such that $p = 2^{r+1} k + 1$.

Proof:

- (i) We have that $2^{2^0} + 1 = 3$, $2^{2^1} + 1 = 5$, $2^{2^2} + 1 = 17$, $2^{2^3} + 1 = 257$ and $2^{2^4} + 1 = 65537$, hence $2^{2^s} + 1$ is prime, for all $0 \leq s \leq 4$.

- (c) Assume that $2^{2^r} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, for some $0 \leq r \leq 5$. If $r = 0$, we get that $2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which gives that p divides $2 - 1$, impossible. Suppose that $1 \leq r \leq 5$. We have

$$2^{2^r} - 1 = (2 - 1)(2 + 1)(2^2 + 1) \dots (2^{2^{r-1}} + 1) = \prod_{t=0}^{r-1} (2^{2^t} + 1)$$

so that there exists $0 \leq t \leq r-1$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$. But $r-1 \leq 4$, hence there exists $0 \leq t \leq 4$, such that p divides $2^{2^t} + 1$. Since $2^{2^t} + 1$ is prime, by (i), we then get that $p = 2^{2^t} + 1$, whence $2^s n + 1 = 2^{2^t} + 1$, and so 2 divides n , impossible. Therefore (ii) holds.

- (iii) We have that $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ is a cyclic multiplicative group of order $p-1$, so that if p divides $a^{2^r} + 1$, then p divides $a^{2^{r+1}} - 1$, and so the order $|a|$ of a is a divisor of 2^{r+1} , whence $|a| = 2^t$, for some $t \leq r+1$. Assume that $t \neq r+1$. Then 2^t divides 2^r , and so $a^{2^r} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which then yields that $1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, that is p divides 2 , a contradiction to the fact that p is odd. Therefore $t = r+1$, and so

$|a| = 2^{r+1}$. Since $|a|$ divides $|G| = p-1$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$p-1=k|a| = 2^{r+1}k, \text{ which gives } p = 2^{r+1}k+1. \hat{U}$$

Lemma 2. Let n be an odd prime. Suppose that $p=2kn+1$ is prime for some $k \geq 1$, such that the implication

$u^n + v^n + w^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \Rightarrow p$ divides one of u, v and w is true for all integers u, v and w . If x, y and z are integers, such that $x^n + y^n + z^n = 0$ and if n does not divide any of x, y and z , then $(2k)^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that x, y and z are pairwise relatively prime. Since $x^n + y^n + z^n = 0$, we get that $x^n + y^n = -z^n$. Let $u = x+y$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x^n + y^n &= (u-y)^n + y^n = \sum_{t=0}^n C_n^t u^{n-t} (-y)^t + y^n \\ &= u \left[\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} C_n^t u^{n-t-1} (-y)^t \right] \\ &= u \left[\sum_{t=0}^{n-2} C_n^t u^{n-t-1} (-y)^t + (-y)^{n-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t} = \sum_{t=0}^{n-2} C_n^t u^{n-t-1} (-y)^t + (-y)^{n-1}.$$

if q is a prime dividing $u = x+y$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t}$, then q divides u and $(-y)^{n-1}$. But q divides z and n does not divide z , hence q does not divide n , and so q divides $(-y)^{n-1}$, whence it divides y , and thence it divides $x = u-y$, impossible.

Therefore $x+y$ and $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t}$ are relatively prime, and so as

$$(x+y) \left(\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t} \right) = x^n + y^n = -z^n, \text{ then there exist two integers } c \text{ and } \gamma,$$

such that c and γ are relatively prime and

$$x+y = \gamma^n, \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t} = c^n \text{ and } z = -c\gamma.$$

Similarly there exist integers a, b, α and β , such that

$$z+y = \alpha^n, \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-z)^t y^{n-t} = a^n \text{ and } x = -a\alpha$$

and

$$z+x = \beta^n, \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-z)^t x^{n-t} = b^n \text{ and } y = -b\beta.$$

Let $p=2kn+1$. Since $x^n+y^n+z^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, p divides xyz . Without loss of generality we may assume that p divides z . We have

$$2z = \alpha^n + \beta^n - \gamma^n$$

so that $\alpha^n + \beta^n - \gamma^n \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so p divides one of α , β and γ . But p divides z and z is relatively prime with x and y , and so as α divides x and β divides y , then p must divide γ . This yields that $x+y \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, hence

$$x \equiv -y \pmod{p}.$$

Since $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t} = c^n$, we then get

$$\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-x)^t y^{n-t} \equiv \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (y)^t y^{n-t} \equiv ny^{n-1} \pmod{p}$$

and so

$$c^n \equiv ny^{n-1} \pmod{p}.$$

However $a^n = \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} (-z)^t y^{n-t} \equiv y^{n-1} \pmod{p}$. Therefore $c^n \equiv na^n \pmod{p}$, which gives that $(c^n)^{2k} \equiv n^{2k} (a^n)^{2k} \pmod{p}$, and so $1 \equiv n^{2k} \pmod{p}$. But $2kn \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$, hence $(2kn)^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which yields $(2k)^{2k} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Theorem A'':

If n is an odd prime and $2^s n+1$ is prime, for some $1 \leq s \leq 5$, then Case I of Fermat's Last Theorem holds.

Proof:

Assume that Case I of Fermat's Last Theorem does not hold. Then there exist integers x , y and z , such that

$$x^n + y^n + z^n = 0 \text{ and } n \text{ does not divide any of } x, y \text{ and } z.$$

If $n = 3$, then as $2 \times 3 + 1 = 7$ is prime, we get from part (i) of Theorem A' that 3 satisfies the conditions of lemma 4.2, and so $(2)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$, which is impossible. Assume that $n \neq 3$. Since n satisfies the conditions of lemma 4.2, by Theorem A', we then have that

$$(2^s)^{2^s} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

If $s=1$, we get $4 \equiv 1 \pmod{2n+1}$, which gives $2n+1=3$, and so $n=1$, impossible.

If $s=2$, then we get $2^{2^3} \equiv 1 \pmod{4n+1}$, which is impossible, by lemma 4.1(ii).

If $s=3$, then $(2^3)^{2^3} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, and so the order of 2 in $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ divides 3×2^3 . If 3 divides the order of 2, then 3 divides $p-1=8n$, and so 3 divides n , which then yields that $n=3$, and hence $p=25$ is not a prime, impossible. Thus the order of 2 divides 2^3 , and so $2^{2^3} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which is impossible, by lemma 4.1(ii).

If $s=4$, then $2^{2^6} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. But $2^{2^5} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is impossible, by lemma 4.1(ii), hence

$2^{2^5} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. It follows that p divides $2^{2^5} + 1$, and so there exists a natural number k , such that $p=64k+1$, by lemma 4.1(iii). This implies that $n=4k$, impossible.

If $s=5$, then $(2^5)^{2^5} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. It follows that the order $|2|$ of 2 in $G = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ divides

5×2^5 . If 5 divides $|2|$, then 5 divides $p-1=32n$, and so 5 divides n , which yields that $n=5$, and hence $p=161$ is not a prime. Thus $|2|$ divides 2^5 , and so $2^{2^5} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, which is impossible, by lemma 4.1(ii). This completes the proof of Theorem A'.

REFERENCES

- Cassels, J., and Fröhlich, A. 1967. *Algebraic number theory*. Acad. Press, New York.
- Edwards, H. M. 1977. *Fermat's last theorem*. G.T.M. 50, Springer-Verlag,
- Hardy, G. H., and Wright, E. M. 1960. *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th edit., New York: Oxford University Press,
- Herstein, I.N. 1975. *Topics in algebra*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2nd edit., New York.
- Hungerford, T.W. 1984. *Algebra*. G.T.M. 73, Springer-Verlag, 3rd print.
- Koblitz, N. 1977. *p-adic numbers, p-adic analysis, and zeta-functions*. G.T.M. 58, Springer-Verlag,
- Lang, S. 1970. *Algebraic number theory*. Addison-Wesley.

Serre, J. P. 1978. *A course in arithmetic*. G.T.M. 7, 2nd edit., Springer-Verlag.
Serre, J. P. 1979. *Local fields*. G.T.M. 67, Springer-Verlag,